Page 1

STATE OF MICHIGAN

DRAFT INJECTION WELL PERMIT
Jordan Development Company, LLC

PUBLIC HEARING
June 19, 2018
Gladwin County, Michigan





FINAL COPY

JANE ROSE REPORTING 1-800-825-3341

JANE ROSE REPORTING 1-800-825-3341

National Court-Reporting Coverage janerose@janerosereporting.com

Page 2

APPEARANCES:

US ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
Stephen Jann, Branch Chief
Janette Hansen, Water Division

JANE ROSE REPORTING

Kathleen Tulick, CSR 4806

Certified Shorthand Reporter



JANE ROSE REPORTING 1-800-825-3341

National Court-Reporting Coverage janerose@janerosereporting.com

	Page 3
1	Gladwin, Michigan
2	Tuesday, June 19, 2018 - 7:30 p.m.
3	
4	MR. JANN: Okay. So, good evening. As I said at
5	the beginning of the evening, my name is Steve Jann,
6	J-a-n-n. For those who want to know, I am the chief
7	of the Underground Injection Control Branch at EPA-5,
8	and our office is in Chicago. So I will preside over
9	our hearing tonight. You've met Janette, who's a
10	professional geologist and has reviewed this permit
11	application; and many of you have met Zack, who is also
12	a geologist.
13	So this is a hearing on EPA's proposal to issue a
14	permit to Jordan Development for the conversion of an
15	existent production well to a Class II underground
16	injection well. Jordan plans to use the well to inject
17	brine deep underground.
18	So EPA first announced this draft permit last
19	PUBLIC VOICE: We can't hear you.
20	MR. JANN: Okay. I think we're going to get
21	feedback if I do this though, that's my concern. I'll
22	stand here.
23	So EPA first announced this draft permit last
24	September with an initial comment period ending last
25	October. We received numerous requests for a public

Page 4 hearing, and so that's why we're here tonight. 1 2 announced this hearing on May 15, the scheduling of 3 this hearing, and the availability of a second --PUBLIC VOICE: We still can't hear you. MR. JANN: Okay. Let me know if we hear feedback. I think that's going to happen. 7 Copies of the draft permit have been available on 8 EPA's web page and in the Gladwin County District 9 Library. The full file, what we call Administrative 10 Record, is available at our office in Chicago, and so 11 there are several copies of the draft permit available 12 tonight. 13 So we're pleased to have this opportunity to listen to your comments on the draft permit decision. 1.4 15 The comment period is open until June 22. If you've 16 made comments during the first comment period, those 17 comments will be considered as we make our final 18 decision. We're holding this hearing in accordance with 19 20 regulations under the Safe Drinking Water Act. 21 hearing is designed to allow you to make comments for 22 EPA to consider when making our final decision. 23 oral statements will be recorded by our court reporter, 2.4 and we will not be asking any questions of you. 25 hearing is your opportunity to tell us whether you

3

5

6

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Page 5

think the draft permit is consistent with the Safe Drink Water Act and the regulations, and whether the facts, as EPA has determined them, are accurate.

All of the comments that we receive become part of the official record for EPA. We will review all comments, but we will not be respondent to any comments tonight. The time that we need to take to respond to those comments is going to depend on how many we get and the nature of the comments.

Once the response is complete, we will send it to all people who commented on the draft permit or at this hearing and we will post it on our website.

At that time EPA will make a final decision to issue or not issue the permit. If EPA issues the permit, it will authorize Jordan to convert the well for Class II activities. Authorization to inject is only given after a successful test of mechanical integrity of the well.

The final decision may be appealed to EPA's environmental appeals board by a person who submits comments on the draft permit during either of the comment periods or participates in this hearing.

So if you want to make a statement at the hearing, please be sure that you give your information to Zack, so that he can add you to the list. If you want to

Page 6 receive information from EPA at a later date, please 2 also provide your information to Zack at the registration table. So, as I mentioned before, because of the number 5 of folks who want to speak I'm going to provide two minutes for those comments, and I might need to adjust that if additional folks want to speak. And, again, folks have until June 22 to submit their comments in writing. 10 So with that, I did ask earlier if we have Okay. any elected officials who have signed up to comment and 1.1 12 want to comment. If any elected officials, if they 13 could come forward. 14 (No response) 15 I'm asking this and our court reporter 16 is asking for folks to keep conversation out of the 17 equation, so that she can hear adequately, and so that she can record the comments that are made during the 18 19 hearing. 20 So with that, I would first like to invite Brandon, I'm going to mispronounce names throughout the night, so I apologize for that, Brandon Glod; and then 22 23 the second person would be Harry Jackman. So Brandon 24 Glod. MR. GLOD: Question one, when did you guys become 25

Page 7 1 shills for him? Question number two, how do we stop 2 this? Because I came here under the impression there would be some sort of vote to shoot this down. does that come in? Because all I see right now is more song and dance, just like you guys pulled in Pennsylvania, like you pulled in Arkansas, and like you pulled in Oklahoma. And then when stuff does hit the wall, oh, gee, what do we do? He ain't going to do nothing. 1.0 He's from a limited liability corporation. Key words, 11 limited liability; oh, I'm sorry, I can't do nothing 12 about it, let's leave it to the government. And then 13 you guys play hot potato with it while our kids die 14 from poisoning. 15 How do we stop it? Bottom line. 16 everybody that left I'm pretty sure were expecting to 17 be able to vote you down, him down. They left because 18 it doesn't look like anything is going to happen. 19 do we make something happen to stop them from poisoning 20 our water? 21 You dogs screwed up in St. Clare. How many 22 billion tons of human feces is resting in that lake 23 now? EPA did a bang-up job taking care of that. 24 do we stop you, or him? You got no response? Exactly 25 what I figured.

	Page 8
1	MR. JANN: Thank you. Next
2.	MR. GLOD: Yeah, blow me.
3	MR. JANN: is Harry Jackman, followed by Janet
4	Jackman.
5	MR. JACKMAN: The only thing I worry about is the
6	regulations from Mr. Pruitt is our secretary of the EPA
7	Is he going to take regulations off of all this or you
8	are just going to keep poisoning the earth? That's all
9	I want to know. You're next, honey.
10	MRS. JACKMAN: Okay. Thank you.
11	MR. JANN: I'm sorry, but if folks could say their
12	name and also spell your last name for the court
13	reporter, I would appreciate it.
14	MRS. JACKMAN: Okay. My name is Janet Jackman,
15	J-a-c-k-m-a-n. My statement I think behind all this, I
16	look at the financial end of things and the human end
17.	of things, and I don't think that just because the
18	company wants to save money by not having to truck
19	things, this brine, they make plenty of money, oil
20	companies, already, and I don't think the money that he
21	saves is worth people's wells when something goes wrong
22	with some of this whole setup that he's got going on,
23	and I really don't think we need this.
24	I wasn't really even impressed with the wells that
25	are going in up here. I moved from the city to come up

Page 9 here to the beautiful country, and now big oil companies are coming in and they are putting their wells in, because the farmers can't make enough money on their farms. So they are taking advantage of the 5 farmers by using their property for these wells, and it's all going to go bad. Thank you. 7 MR. JANN: Thank you. Я Could you ask people to come to me? MS. HANSEN: 9 MR. JANN: Yes, I'm going to do that. I will ask 10 Janette to sit here with her microphone. And ask the 11 speakers to come forward toward Janette. 12 PUBLIC VOICE: Make us do all the work, boy. 13 MR. JANN: And then I will call folks. have Tyler Roberson, followed by Scott Lackey. 15 you could come forward as your cue for the next 16 speaker. 17 MR. ROBERSON: Hello. My name is Tyler Roberson. 18 I was born in Gladwin, raised on the banks of the city 19 river, right north of Townhall Lake Road. 20 Right after serving as an officer in the armed 21 forces I finished my master's in fishery and wildlife 22 It just so happens that for my master's 23 thesis I did a case study on the Cedar River. 24 been involved within the scientific community as it 25 pertains to our watersheds for a number of years before

8

g

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 10

any science. Besides the formal study I've lived on the river all my life.

It's not by accident that Gladwin was founded upon the river. Most communities are founded upon rivers and streams for good reason, for water means life.

It's an important element of all life on earth.

To hear that a company is potentially being allowed by the EPA to dispose toxic waste near its head waters rings of insanity to me, especially over 30 miles of trucking costs. Our community has certainly seen hard times. Now we are letting outsiders try to drive the final nail in our coffin and seal our community's fate.

Let me ask you, how many companies are going to move to Gladwin when their employees are at risk of being subjected to poison by toxins within their water supply? That is safe? We're being told this is safe without danger, without risk. Time has shown otherwise.

By your own research, there's been 457 leaks in just eight states, and that's just between 2006 and 2012. 50 percent of those were directly related to storage wells just like the ones you are trying to bring to our community. That is according to your guys' research.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 11

You know this entire situation revolves around dishonesty. The pollution itself by name is a complete fabricated lie. You call it brine. I guess you call it brine because potentially radioactive waste with heavy metal toxic waste rings better to our ears.

Pennsylvania alone had 271 confirmed cases of water contamination last year from brine storage. Homes around the brine storage well in Guatemala and Texas saw real estate values drop 14 percent.

Another thing to consider is that Michigan has the largest number of independent water wells in the United Gladwin is certainly one of those communities that rely upon them. I'm realistic and I understand that modern life requires fossil fuels to operate. However, Gladwin is still moderately untouched by pollution. The proposal would be much better suited for places already dealing with this issue, and not induce a new situation in such a pristine watershed.

Those making this decision need to ask themselves, would the income generated from 30 miles of trucking costs cover 100 percent of the cost of a toxic spill or toxic contamination? The answer is most certainly no.

Just ask the Pennsylvania residents that.

MR. JANN: Let me mention that you are about a minute over, and we will be more than happy to take any

Page 12 written comments that you have at this time. 2 MR. ROBERSON: All right. Sounds good. MR. JANN: Thank you. Thank you. So are you Mr. Lackey? MR. LACKEY: Yes. MR. JANN: Followed by Richard Cassiday, and then 7 the third in cue will be Mike Bailey, please. 8 MR. LACKEY: Hello, my name is Scott Lackey. 9 I moved in 22 years ago. I live in new here. 10 southeast Gladwin County. Everybody down my road has had to put new wells in. Some oil companies were there 11 in the '50s and '60s. Now, I don't know what the 1.2 13 regulations were then, and I know nobody cares now. 14 But I have a cup of water here that anybody can 15 drink that wants to. It just came out of my garden It's salty, and if you leave it sit overnight it 16 gets a scum on the top. I've had it tested. 18 house I have to have reverse osmosis, I have to have 19 water filters, I have to have water softeners. 20 had to replace everything, and plus we still don't 21 drink it. I wouldn't let my children drink it. 22 happened years and years ago. 23 So a company came, got their money, and left. 24 People from the EPA, I appreciate you being here. 25 know you took the brunt of this tonight, but with the

Page 13 1 way things are with the EPA, all your guaranties could be rolled back and gone tomorrow. Think about our children and grandchildren. Do we want to have good clean water? I do. I'm going to leave this here. invite you to drink it that wants to, but I wouldn't. MR. JANN: Thank you. Now, Richard Cassiday, and to be followed by Mike Bailey, please. 8 PUBLIC VOICE: Mr. Cassiday said he's all set. 9 MR. JANN: Thank you. Mike Bailey followed by Ralph Shiffer, please. And, again, if folks could say 10 11 their name and spell their last name. 12 MR. BAILEY: Thank you. My name is Mike Bailey, 13 and I've been a teacher here in Gladwin Community 14 Schools for 44 years. I did want to reiterate, I think 15 it was Dr. Townsend's and, of course, Mr. Roberson's 16 comments. 1.7 PUBLIC VOICE: Put the microphone up closer. 18 MR. BAILEY: Oh, I'm sorry. Normally, I don't 19 ever need to have one of these. 20 Anyhow, I'd like to comment on Dr. Townsend's and 21 Mr. Roberson's comments, because Dr. Townsend said 410 injection sites, have a failure rate of 410, Class II 22 23 injection sites, and the number that Mr. Roberson gave 24 was something similar to that with a larger number, but 25 of the same general percentages, and I didn't hear from

Page 14 the gentleman what the EPA has as a number. What is your official number on failure rates of 3 Class II injection sites, do you have a number on that? MS. HANSEN: I don't off the top of my head, sir. 5 I'm sorry, we're not supposed to answer. 6 MR. JANN: Let me remind everyone that we're not answering questions. Okay. Well, anyhow, I guess you need 8 MR. BAILEY: 9 to highlight those two numbers. They are significantly 1.0 at the high end, and they need to be considered. 11 you. 12 MR. JANN: Shiffer next, followed by Letha 13 Raymond, please. And, again, folks, please say your name and spell your last name. 14 Thank you. 1.5 MR. SHIFFER: My name is Ralph Shiffer. lived here since 1960. I truly believe that we're 16 17 witnessing smoking mirrors blown up our ass. 18 is going to give these people a permit. 19 nothing we're going to do about it. 20 This is my question to the EPA. When they fuck 21 up, how much are they going to charge them? Are you 22 going to charge them \$5,000 a day when they are making 23 millions a day? That's not right. I was an industrial 24 union construction worker. I've worked all over industrial sites my entire life, and I saw what a waste 25

the EPA bought.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You cannot tell me, you cannot convince me, there's nothing you can say, that this whole thing is smoking mirrors. Everyone of us here, why not put this on a ballot in November? Let's get a vote on it, because the EPA lies. The EPA will look you in the eye and lie to you. Go ahead, tell us more fucking lies.

Page 15

MR. JANN: The next people will be Ben Brower, please, and followed by -- Letha Raymond first, please, and then followed by Ben Brower.

MS. RAYMOND: Letha Raymond, R-a-y-m-o-n-d. resident of Surrey Township in Clare County, and I'm here today as a concerned citizen and in support of all of us to stand up for the health and safety of all residents in the Tittabawassee watershed that we all share and depend on.

Clare and Gladwin Counties have a long history of oil and gas exploration and production that has resulted in a significant number of played out and abandoned wells. I share with my neighbors a concern that our counties are vulnerable to becoming a dumping ground for toxic waste from other drilling operations around the state, as well as outside of the state.

This well is named well Groves 13-11, which I believe suggests there are 12 other wells within

3

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 16

Section 11. And I have some questions about those, which I'll put in my written comment.

I understand that it's not so long ago that the area underwent review and corrective action. The area — all wells within that area need to be inspected and evaluated for proper closure, and they have the potential to leak should pressurized toxic waste find a way to these wells. I understand that the radius of that at one time, not so long ago, was one mile, and it was under — I understand that it was under the Bush and Chaney administration, that that radius was reduced to one-quarter mile.

So I would hope that the EPA can help us know what we can do to increase these safety zones rather than decrease them.

Should this permit be approved by the EPA and the MDEQ, area residents should test their water now, and should continue to test to be sure that they are not being poisoned by their well water. Water testing is expensive, and many area residents are hurting financially and do not have the means to pay for this testing. Are they really expected to pay for these tests themselves, while Jordan Development Company is reaping the benefit of this injection well? How is this fair?

	Page 17
1	Communities and rural residents in this area rely
2	on well water, our groundwater. Many residents are
3	justifiably concerned, as am I.
4	MR. JANN: You are out of time. We will be very
5	happy to take your written comments.
6	MS. RAYMOND: Can I finish 30 seconds?
7	MR. JANN: You got about a minute more than
8	allocated.
9	PUBLIC VOICE: Take all the time you want.
10	MS. RAYMOND: Then I will end with, we are blessed
11	with our abundant water in our beautiful state. We
12	must stop taking our water for granted. We must
13	protect our water, and we implore you, the EPA, to help
14	us do that. And thank you for this public hearing
15	today.
16	MR. JANN: Mr. Ben Brower, please, followed by
17	Mr. Lee Henderson. Are either of those folks coming
18	forward? And then the third person would be Diane
19	Doherty, please. Lee Henderson, and I do ask
20	Ms. Doherty to come forward, and the next person after
21	that would be Don Keyhoe, please.
22	MR. HENDERSON: Thank you. I'm Lee Henderson,
23	H-e-n-d-e-r-s-o-n. I was in the first meeting. So I
24	had questions then but didn't have a chance to talk.
25	But I'd like to know, how far away does this company

Page 18 have other wells? And the comment would be, do we really want to be a dump station for all of Michigan or perhaps further away? I don't think we do. My well, I live in the southeast corner of Gladwin, down in Brushaber, just east of Midland, and 6 we put a new well in a couple years ago to try to get away from our salt water. When they put it down we knew it was within 10 or 20 foot of the other one. 8 9 still have salty water. Where did that come from? 10 that a natural occurrence? I don't think so. 11 I'm concerned about what might happen if we become 12 a dump station for the whole state or even further. 13 don't see a regulation, I haven't heard of any 14 regulations for this company. They can take it from 15 out of state if they want to. 16 And I haven't heard a termination date or gallons 17 or daily rate. How many days are they going to allow 18 this to happen, 20,000 gallons per day? 19 PUBLIC VOICE: Barrels. The Midland Daily News says 20 MR. HENDERSON: 21 So I don't know what they are talking about. 22 So I don't know whether the news is wrong or not, but I 23 don't really want to be a dump station for counties in 24 Michigan. I'm not sure that's right. Who's going to make the final decision, the 25

Page 19 1 Michigan DEQ or Michigan EPA or the Federal EPA or can it be Gladwin County say no and have it go into effect? MR. JANN: That's time. MR. HENDERSON: Okay. Thank you very much. 5 MR. JANN: Thank you. Diane Doherty. Don Keyhoe. Excuse me? 7 PUBLIC VOICE: Don left. 8 MR. JANN: All right. Katie Keith, please, 9 followed by Amy Kruske, I believe. Please say your 1.0 name and spell your last name. 11 MS. KEITH: Katie Keith, K-e-i-t-h. 12 MR. JANN: Thank you. 13 MS. KEITH: I guess what I learned here tonight 14 the most, unfortunately, is that I feel that the EPA 15 guidelines are hugely insufficient. And the 16 information provided today, I mean, the fact that 17 there's possible toxic waste just left up to our common 18 sense and not being told in the presentation, that's 19 very concerning to me. 20 But, you know, these guidelines, we rely heavily 21 on Jordan's integrity through the reliance on their self-monitoring, but then there was no track record 22 23 So, you know, understanding about any or no 24 review about the company itself and how it operates, it 25 doesn't appear in these guidelines.

 Page 20

The fact that it's reviewed every five years seems grossly insufficient to me. I mean, it could leak for four years, you know, and the company doesn't mention it or what not. I mean, who knows? We have to rely on them, and it's just that that just seems like so long and so much damage.

And the fact that the pipeline isn't even covered that's transferring the brine, that that's not even part of the permitting process, where I think all pipelines leak, any plumber will tell you that. I mean, that can affect the wildlife. We're only considering human water consumption. I mean, what about all the other factors? Why is it only so limited? It just seems so grossly insufficient.

And then the fact that there's no penalty if there is anything. I mean, that \$28,000, that's so grossly insufficient. It's all grossly insufficient. I don't understand. I mean, I don't get it.

And just because a well exists shouldn't be a reason to use it. I don't buy that either. I mean, who put the well there? Did we get asked to put the well there? Oh, the well is there, we might as well as use it, and for 30 miles trucking expense, as someone else mentioned, it just doesn't add up to me. It just doesn't seem right. It's just so, so insufficient, and

Page 21 1 then there's no penalty and no concern for the wildlife whatsoever, which is concerning, too. And the fact that this is a poor community. is it always the poor communities that this happens to? I don't, I don't get it. I don't understand. not have the money to fight this? I don't know. that's what I had to say. 8 MR. JANN: Thank you. 9 MS. KEITH: Thank you. 10 MR. JANN: Amy Kruske, followed by Robert 11 Townsend, please. Then after Mr. Townsend, Annette 12 Murray. 13 Okay. I'm Amy Kruske, K-r-u-s-k-e. 14 Thank you for this hearing. I know it's been hot and 15 debated, but to tell you the truth, we wouldn't have 16 had this hearing right now had it not been for a letter 17 to the editor, and I think it was in October, that my 18 husband and I saw, and we were pretty outraged. 19 letter didn't come from anyone here, it came from a guy 20 in Clare, about this proposed well. So we got our pals together and our neighborhood and we wrote letters to 21 22 I don't know who else Janette to get this hearing. 23 wrote letters, but thank you. 24 Our concern was, obviously, it's very close to 25 where this well is proposed. It's just, I mean, it's a

Page 22

stone's throw away from the Cedar River watershed.

It's also not very far from my own personal well. It's in my backyard. So that made us very nervous, still does.

I will read my notes now. I guess, here's one of my big concerns, too. Okay. We weren't informed of this well to begin with. So how are we going to be informed if it has a spill or a crack? Now, there's information, you know, that we're trying to show us, like, I see that there's a well that has casing around it, things like that. However, there have been many spills reported. There were 6,000 spills in 2014 in just four states. That's not our state. That's other states that have been fracked more heavily.

I don't know if since then it's really hard to find information on that, but I'm sure the numbers are very high. It's not that it doesn't happen. It happens more than we would like to know, and when it happens in my backyard I'm not going to be happy about it.

Especially since I don't know what to test for, because we don't even know what's in the brine. What? How will I test my water for that? I've tested my water in the past, it's expensive, and you have to basically tell them what you want them to test it for.

	Page 23
1	So that's another concern that I have.
2	MR. JANN: Just so you know, we're at time.
3	MS. KRUSKE: Oh, no, we're at time.
4	MR. JANN: And we're happy to take any written
5	comments that you may have.
6	MS. KRUSKE: Okay. Thank you.
7	MR. JANN: Robert Townsend, followed by Annette
8	Murray, and then Donna Ridley.
9	DR. TOWNSEND: Good evening. Thank you all for
10	coming out. My name is Dr. Bob Townsend,
11	T-o-w-n-s-e-n-d, and I just want to express a couple of
12	concerns that I have.
13	First of all, I came to this meeting with an open
14	mind. I don't have a care one way or the other on
15	fracking, as far as no predisposed ideation or anything
16	like that.
17	There are two things that deeply concern me. As
18	soon as I walked in the door I saw people signing
19	petitions, and the EPA came up and lied to me. That's
20	my first contact with EPA. They told me they had no
21	idea who those people were, but the EPA was lying. Why
22	don't they just say that they are signing petitions
23	about fracking and move on? Be honest.
24	The second thing that really disturbs me is why is
25	the State of Michigan not here? They should be here

Page 24 addressing some of these concerns with the DEQ and the 2 other agencies responsible for this, because there are questions. A pipeline is not covered under the EPA. 3 It's covered under the State of Michigan as far as I Why are they not here explaining that to us? That's the big concern to me. That's going into the water supply, the creeks. The injection well 3,000 feet deep is not. So before we approve this, first I'd like to see a 9 bond to cover the cost of clean-up for that pipeline in 10 case it ruptures. Second of all, I'm tired of hearing 11 12 from government agencies that it's not my circus, not 13 my monkey, all right, and that's all I have to say 14 about that. This goes from a site of origin to the site of 15 disposal, and it all needs to be looked at. 16 17 very much. MR. JANN: Annette Murray, followed by Donna 18 19 Ridley. 20 MS. MURRAY: Hi, I'm Annette Murray, M-u-r-r-a-y. 21 I just have one question or comment. Who is Jordan 22 Development and why their interest in Gladwin County? 23 What are they getting out of it? That's it. 24 MR. JANN: Thank you. Donna Ridley, followed by 25 Jessica Shanahan, please.

Page 25 1 PUBLIC VOICE: Donna left. Donna is gone. 2 MR. JANN: Okay. Jessica Shanahan, followed by 3 Randall Schroeder, please. MS. SHANAHAN: S-h-a-n-a-h-a-n--G-a-l-l-e-y. There's a question, there's a question that was dodged multiple times, and I want to answer this question for you, is what's in the brine. The brine has hydrocarbons in it. Let me tell you about hydrocarbons, because I've researched brine. 10 Hydrocarbons cause cancer in lab rats. 11 victim of hydrocarbon exposure. It's not in the water. 12 It's in the vapor intrusion. You breathe it. 13 causes cancer. I have stage four ovarian cancer. 14 life expectancy is four to six months. I've made it 15 one year so far. (Applause). 16 I have gotten 13 chemo injections in Thank you. 17 the last 13 months. I have lost my hair. I have lost 18 my fertility. I have lost my life expectancy, my 19 insurance, my job, my career. My children are standing 20 right there, and they could lose their mommy because of 21 those hydrocarbons that she would not list. Those are 22 what's in the water, and they are going to put it in 23 our ground and channel it to our water, and they are 24 going to kill more children. 25 This is my hair. I have no immune system, I

Page 26 1 This is what's in front of us, and this is apologize. what's going to happen if you guys let this happen. MR. JANN: Randall Schroeder. MR. SCHROEDER: I'm Randall Schroeder, 5 S-c-h-r-o-e-d-e-r. And I have been involved with the 6 gas problem and fracking and priming of wells done in Farmington Hills where they did a non-vertical pipe to 8 come into the area. We had all of these kinds of assurances from the EPA group and from the state as 10 It leaked. We were in a closed ecosystem; we well. 11 had city water, we had city sewers, but we smelled 12 stuff from what the occurrences were. 13 One of the biggest problems that I would encourage EPA to demand of Jordan, which we're being a test for 15 Jordan doing fracking here, obviously, is that they 16 have independent testing and a clearness that we can 17 see the results, anybody can see the results. 18 although this wasn't Jordan Oil that we dealt with down 19 state they do have a tendency to hide things. 20 Because they are afraid somebody is going to come back 21 and sue them. So why not insist that Jordan have 22 independent testing of not only what's going on with 23 the well but surface water as well? 24 Number two, this surface pipeline is crazy, and I 25 would like to reiterate the fact that the state should

Page 27 1 be here, that is their, and we've dealt with the state before, that is part of their responsibility and jurisdiction. That's all I have. MR. JANN: Thank you. Mike Gary, followed by Heidi Gary, and then Rose Marie -- excuse me, Gretchen Mora. Okay. Mike Gary, Heidi Gary, and Gretchen Mora, then after that would be Rose Marie Thume, and after 8 that is Michelle Davis. 9 MS. DAVIS: First of all, Michelle Davis, 10 D-a-v-i-s. First of all, I would thank you for coming 11. and allowing this hearing. And laughing, because my 12 kid was in debate about a year ago, and the topic was 13 greenhouse gasses. I've got to be honest, I don't have 14 as much respect for EPA. So, I'm sorry, but I 15 appreciate you being here. And the big reason why is 16 because I think that through my son's debate I learned 17 a lot about the EPA and how things were not really 18 truthful, and I'm not saying that you personally, but 19 I'm saying that on the organization. So I have a lot 20 of hesitation just on that. 21 But I am very concerned about what's going to go 22 in this well, and I know we're hearing brine, brine, 23 brine, brine, brine, but I'm very concerned about maybe 24 some fracking materials somehow ending up in there. 25 apologies, because I did not research this topic in

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 28

depth the way I should have, but that is a very good concern about stuff being put in there that shouldn't be put in there.

I'm also extremely concerned with a catastrophic disaster. I don't see — and I haven't heard anything about how that could be addressed. There is absolutely no way this well should be supported if we don't have any plan, something that will cover catastrophes, and I know that they are not planned. You know, you can't really lay that out, but there should be something. And that awful number of \$28,000 is really ridiculous.

I'm also very concerned that we as a public have not been informed of so many details, especially what exactly is, like what is the lifetime of this? I mean, do we know? We, seriously, do not have enough information.

I'm very concerned that our public officials are not here. (Applause).

Okay. I'm going to speak on a different topic, touch on it. In my own township I brought out a very long presentation about why I think windmills should be brought into our township. And with that key suggestion that maybe the people that were in power were getting kickbacks, and so we were not being told all the information. I'm saying that that's true, but

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 29

I'm saying that was a concern. So I bring that to the attention here. Where is our officials, why are we not hearing from them?

I think there are just way too many things for the EPA to say, yeah, let's go ahead. No, we have to have some answers for a lot of questions. We need more, another hearing, honestly. We need more information. Thank you.

MR. JANN: Next we have Tracy Curtis, followed by Jim Rackord, I believe, and then Judith Schroeder. Please state your name and spell your last name.

MS. CURTIS: Tracy Curtis, C-u-r-t-i-s. I just have a concern, nobody has mentioned that this is within one mile of a nice lake that has beautiful people, beautiful things, that we all need to be aware of. Well, actually, two lakes that are within range that can be affected by this. There would be no fishing, there would be no skiing on the lakes, if it gets contaminated or anything.

So I just wanted to make sure that you guys are aware that not only our drinking water is going to be affected, but our environment is going to be affected.

MR. JANN: Thank you. Jim Rackord, if I'm pronouncing that correctly, followed by Judith Schroeder, and then Jon Freier, I believe.

	Page 30
1	MR. RACKORD: I will this evening pardon me?
2	MR. JANN: Name and spell it, please.
3	MR. RACKORD: Jim Rackord, R-a-c-k-o-r-d. I've
4	lived here all my life. I live on Wiggins Lake, and
5	I've lived in a community on one of our trout streams
6	on top of that. One of them I would like to address
7	and
8	MR. JANN: I think she's having a hard time
9	hearing you.
10	MR. RACKORD: basically, when I lived down
11	here. I have 40 plus pages of documentation off the
12	internet of leaking and also leaking into groundwater.
13	And one of them I want to talk about here now is the
14	EPA due to their lack of regulations has allowed
15	fracking companies commonly to dispose of contaminated
16	fracking water in the cheapest, easiest ways they can
17	
18	find, regardless of consequences for communities, water
1	treatment facilities, and environment.
19	I think, like one of the gentlemen said earlier, I
20	believe this should be put on the ballot in November to
21	vote on whether to allow this in our community. Thank
22	you.
23	MR. JANN: Judith Schroeder, is that correct?
24	MS. SCHROEDER: Yes.
25	MR. JANN: Okay. Spell your last name. And then

6

8

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 31

it would be Jon Freier, please.

MS. SCHROEDER: S-c-h-r-o-e-d-e-r. All right. The EPA's duty is to protect our water, right, is to protect our waters? I would ask -- I think it would be important to send this to our state representatives, write letters to our state representatives. You know, we need to do that.

And the third thing is, I believe God is for the people, and to use prayer. There's power, we still have power. There's a battle going on, and I look at it between good and evil; money and whatever, whatever the plan is. They don't care about us. God cares about us. The state representatives will hear us. So I would encourage you to write to your state senators and pray. Thank you.

MR. JANN: Jon Freier. Jon Freier, followed by Stephen Ostrander, and then Jeff Ennis.

MR. FREIER: It's Jon Freier, F-r-e-i-e-r. How is it going tonight? Not very good, is it? Like she said before about the state representatives and to regulate about the surface pipe, regulations about the surface pipe that's below the ground 3 feet or 4 feet below the ground or what not, and I called the soil conservation before this, and they had no idea that this meeting was going on tonight.

6

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Page 32

So reach out to everybody; the EPA again, DEQ, call your soil conservation, MSU, whoever. We don't want it. We don't need it.

MR. JANN: Thank you. Stephen Ostrander, and Jeff Ennis, followed by Michelle Wojtas. I'll go to number four in the event somebody is approaching the front of the room. Dr. Ronald Kruske, please, and after Dr. Kruske we have Dan Ostwick, I believe.

DR. KRUSKE: Dr. Ronald Kruske, K-r-u-s-k-e. I came here tonight basically to find out what our administrative avenues were to try and challenge this permit. We got a little bit of that answer. Thank you, Steve. And I would like to thank you both for allowing us the chance to talk tonight.

It sounds as though it's up to you guys, and that we don't have any avenues to pursue this at this point, and I realize you guys are pretty limited by guidelines, that you have to work within the Safe Drinking Water Act. I'm aware that there's also a 2010 environmental justice guidelines, and I hope you could maybe find something in there that could help us maybe deny this permit.

Again, if we had some administrative options, I think we would try to exercise those. So try to keep that in mind in your decision-making process. Thank

Page 33 1 you. MR. JANN: Thank you. Dan, and I'm 3 mispronouncing, I know, but Ostwick; and then Scheryll Gerendsky; and then Andy Szerdi, S-z-e-r-d-i. MR. OSTWICK: My name is Dan Ostwick, O-s-t-w-i-c-k. I just wanted to say that I think fracking and on the waste that we create that has to be 8 stored forever is highly irresponsible of allowing it 9 to happen. And in the permit to Jordan, there's 10 absolutely no responsibility of them if this well 11 should leak. It's our problem. There is no 12 responsibility. 1.3 I have a copy of the permit that was signed on 14 September 15, 2017. That's before we knew about it. 15 The EPA signed a permit, Christopher Korleski. 16 there a signed permit before we even know about it? 17 The EPA is not on this with us. 18 PUBLIC VOICE: Told you, they were lying. 19 MR. JANN: I'll break with my own rules and speak 20 to that, which is, that the document that was signed is 21 a so-called Statement of Basis, which describes the law 22 and facts that were most important, but you may see 23 that the permit itself has a draft stamp on it. 24 fact, you see that sheet of paper that's on the table, 25 the permit says draft.

Page 34 MR. OSTWICK: This is the one that's got the signature. MR. JANN: That's a Statement of Basis. It's a separate document, but the permit is stamped draft. MR. OSTWICK: It says permit number MIO51 --PUBLIC VOICE: I thought you said you didn't answer questions. MR. JANN: Yeah. That's why I said I'm violating 8 9 my own protocol. So the permit is a draft. 10 state that unequivocally. So let's move on to our next 11 Sheryll Gerendsky, maybe that's the best 12 pronunciation, followed by Andy Szerdi, S-z-e-r-d-i, 1.3 and Todd Sweet. Okay. Then we have Tamie Robinson, 14 please. 15 MR. SZERDI: Andy Szerdi, S-z-e-r-d-i. 16 Michigan strata is a basin, it's shaped like this. 17 push it in here, it will come up out over the edges, if you do enough of it. This is a bad thing. This will 18 19 pollute the Great Lakes. 20 Also, there is no need for this. You can 21 evaporate it. You can put it in shallow ponds or you 22 can precipitate it in reactors, you just have to have a 23 container to cook it out of. So there's really no need to be shoving bad stuff back into the ground where we 25 get all of our water to drink. This is bad. Thank

Page 35 1 you. MR. JANN: Thank you. Todd Sweet, followed by 3 Tamie Robinson, please. MS. ROBINSON: Hi, I'm Tamie Robinson, R-o-b-i-n-s-o-n. I have a few questions and a few 6 comments. First of all, we are still trying to find out what exactly is in the brine. We would like that posted, if that could come out. We would really appreciate that. 10 We also want to know where this solution, this 11 brine, is coming from. I know you say it's from 12 Jordan, LLC. Is that a Michigan only company? Is that 13 from other places? We would like to know that. 14 Also, is this the same company, the Jordan 1.5 Development Company, that holds and monitors the same 16 pipeline that's under our Mackinac Bridge right now 17 that was just struck and is already creating problems 18 with our water here in Michigan? We don't need more 19 water problems here in Michigan. We have enough. 20 We want to make sure the water is safe. We want 21 to make sure we are safe. Our drinking water, our 22 animals, our society, everything that we have here, we 23 need to keep safe. 24 I understand that you have a job to do. 25 that, and you have a job to do. I know that, but we

Page 36 want to keep us safe. Thank you. MR. JANN: Heather Schiele, S-c-h-i-e-l-e, 3 followed by Joe Servetter. MR. SERVETTER: Very good. I got one right. MR. JANN: MS. SCHIELE: You wasn't too far off. You are 7 okay. MR. JANN: And then third would be Paul Reece, I 8 9 believe. 10 MS. SCHIELE: Hello. Thank you. My name is 11 Heather Schiele, S-c-h-i-e-1-e. And the last time I 12 found myself in this high school and this auditorium is 13 when we were listening to Michigan DEQ and Michigan 14 State Extension telling us about fracking and how it 1.5 was going to be so safe for our area, explaining that 16 they were going to take all of the poisons that they 17 put in the ground and they were going to take it 18 elsewhere, and there was going to be no problem, they 19 would cover the well and that would be it. And here we 20 are now, and they are talking about making these wells 21 into injection wells, just as expected. 22 The problem I have is many don't know that in 2013 23 I took the DEQ to court to try and find out what their 24 proprietary poisons were, so I could properly test my 25 well, because it's very specific things that you need

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 37

to do. It has to be in a certain amount of time beforehand, and you have to tell them specifically what to test for. But the companies, whether it was Jordan or whether it be, I can't remember, what was the name, Devon, who was working out of River Walk, and these people have proprietary chemicalists, and that's what I was fighting against, so I could test my well correctly.

Not to mention that that test was going to cost \$3,500, and that that was with ten people in my neighborhood to get that wonderful price of \$3,500.

And as mentioned, Gladwin is a poor community and we do not have \$3,500 to do that. And the only reason, the only way that that would be helpful to us is if we knew specifically what they were going to be putting in, and if it was in a certain time limit of them drilling that well. So that's one point.

And what I find misleading is that, ma'am, when you were discussing things, you were talking very simply about taking water and things that were not petroleum based from one well and transferring it from lines to this injection well. There's a lot more chemicals than what's listed there, enough for the DEQ and Health to spend a lot of money for us to go to the Michigan State Supreme Court. So to say that there's

Page 38 nothing there is a lie. 2 And the problem people have is we might not have a 3 lot of money in Gladwin, but we're not stupid. When you start something with a lie and you continue with a 4 lie, it's just a lie. So how do we ever trust you? 6 There's not going to be anybody here for us when it 7 fails, which, ultimately, if you are against saying 8 that things stored 7,000 miles underneath the ground or 9 feet or whatever it is, I believe the transfer line 10 that you said three sentences later or three minutes 11 later is 3 to 4 feet underground. So how is that not 12 going to affect our aquifer if there is a problem? 13 And the casings in the well are not going to help that at all. It's got nothing to do with it. Now, 1.4 1.5 from my understanding, it's not even the EPA's 16 business. It's going to be the helpless and wonderfully undependable Michigan DEQ. So that's a 17 18 wonderful thing to look forward to. I was hoping when I moved to Gladwin in 2010 to 19 20 enjoy the rest of my life here with my grandchildren 21 and their grandchildren, and I don't even know if we 22 will have water to drink when that time comes. 23 The second thing is --24 MR. JANN: You are out of time. 25 MS. SCHIELE: Okay. But, anyway -- oh, the other

Page 39 1 thing that I wanted to say, how can it be legal if you don't have to report this out, but if there is a hush 3 order on doctors and emergency workers, anything that has to do anything with fracking or oil drilling? How are we supposed to believe anything? They are not even allowed to tell us when there's something wrong with us, because we've been affected. It starts with lies, continue with lies, and it's just killing us for money. Thank you. 9 10 MR. JANN: Joe Servetter. Please spell your last 11 name. 12 MR. SERVETTER: Joe Servetter, S-e-r-v-e-t-t-e-r. 13 Here's the problem I have. One is, it's a LLC, so 14 their liability is limited. I'd like to know exactly 15 if, let's say something happens, I'd like to know what 16 are they -- what is the company going to pay, you know, 17 what if all our water is contaminated, are they going 18 to pay for the repairs or are we left as a poor 19 community fending for ourselves? 20 The other thing, I would like to remind you and 21 you that you work for the government, right? You work for us. I pay your paycheck, and I pay your paycheck. 22 23 When you stand up here and you say it's salt water, and 24 then someone else brings up there's other chemicals, 25 and then you admit that there are other chemicals, I

Page 40

don't know how to put it other than you lied, okay. And I'm not okay with that.

I work for a fire department. I work for a community, and I try to do things to better the community, okay. I don't lie to people, and it makes me feel like you guys are working for the company, not for us.

That's not how this government is supposed to be. It's supposed to be for the people, and I really have an issue with that. Because I know if I was a business owner and you lied to me, I would fire your ass in a heartbeat.

MR. JANN: Next we have Paul Reece, followed by Sally Wade.

MR. REECE: Paul Reece, R-e-e-c-e. Life is full of risks. We all agree that some of the greatest successes have come from the most severe risks, but risks that may have far-reaching effects and cause irreparable damage should never be forced upon an individual or community.

If nothing else, you have witnessed a community come together tonight with one voice and say no, not here, not in our backyard. We are unified in our conviction, that if Jordan wants to save money or make money at the expense of their own children, go ahead,

Page 41

but they will not make money at the expense of ours.

Tonight we've heard from scientists, teachers and doctors, and somehow, shockingly, you have failed to offer sufficient answers to our basic questions about regulations, structure and safety. It was beyond apparent that your motive was completely self-serving, void of any concern for this community. If I have misplaced your motives, Jordan, which they are no longer here, then show your care, your decency, and make other arrangements for your waste site.

Though I reside in Gladwin, I'm a fourth grade teacher in Midland. Each year we take children to the Midland Historic Museum where they learn about Herbert Henry Dow, and how he developed a process to extract and utilize brine. He was a dreamer/inventor, something I try to inspire in my students to become. But they also learned that Dow used to dump his waste products in neighboring rivers, until he realized that such practice destroyed much of the life downstream because of the contents in the brine. The waste product of drilling is harmful, period.

And according to their testimony tonight, this company will be pumping 3 feet below the surface of the ground in our own back yards. I know this community will not stand for it. If you go against the passion

Page 42 1 of these people in this community and put our health 2 and the health of our families without our vote, you will have awakened the bull from hell, and you will regret it. MR. JANN: Thank you. Next is Sally Wade, followed by Debbie Whitsel, W-h-i-t-s-e-l, I believe, Sally Wade? Okay. Debbie Whitsel, and Frank Kordus. 8 and she'll correct me, I'm sure. MS. WHITSEL: No, it was right. 10 MR. JANN: Well, good. That's two. 11 MS. WHITSEL: Debbie Whitsel, W-h-i-t-s-e-1. 12 came from the Flint, Grand Blanc area, and that I have 13 five grandchildren that live there, and the water still 14 is bad in the Flint area. I moved up here to get away 1.5 from all that. 16 I do not want -- I live one mile away from where 17 you're talking about dumping all this. I have my 80 18 acres, a beautiful home. My grandkids are going to be 19 living there some day. I do not want this. I don't --20 I would love to have it be brought up to a vote and let 21 our community decide if this is right for us, because I 22 don't believe it is. Thank you. 23 MR. JANN: Thank you. Frank Kordus, Susie 24 Hamilton. 25 MR. KORDUS: K-o-r-d-u-s. No one gets it right.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 43

We're a community. Now, as I said earlier, I'm from somewhere else. I've been here a very short time, around ten years, but this is a beautiful place. There's a lot of -- Gladwin reminds me of Alaska 40 years ago. That's been lost in Alaska. I don't want to see it lost here.

We as a people cannot rely on our agencies. I think that's become brutally clear in the last few months where a duly-elected president is being badgered by his system, our media, our bureaucracies, everything, is lined up against us, the people. So we're going to have to take it back ourselves.

I know you've people got a job, but your powers are limited, and I know that. You are put in a very difficult position. I recognize that. You are being told to come here and take hearings, but you really are powerless in many ways to stop things, and you are not given the information. You are sent here to answer questions without that information. There have been secrets here.

We know what's going back in the ground is extraordinarily carcinogenic, cancer. We have a result of that right here. We are going to have to do something, and that's why I'm here speaking. We've already heard what's going on here. We have to do

Page 44 something. I don't have the answers for it, but I think we all need to talk. So any efforts set forth for us to bond together, work together, contact representatives, but it will have to start here tonight with us. That's all I've got to say. MR. JANN: Thank you. Susan Hamilton, followed by 8 Sam Bridle. MS. HAMILTON: Hello, my name is Susie Hamilton, 9 10 H-a-m-i-l-t-o-n. I just want to say, you guys are 11 doing a great job of public speaking. 12 So I have a few concerns. All right. 1.3 concerned about the unknown. It seemed very clear 14 earlier that we don't know what's in the brine, 15 potential environmental contaminants, and it's like 16 playing Russian roulette with the unknown, and I don't know about you guys, but I don't want to do that. 18 not want to do that, especially in my town, my small 19 town where I grew up. I hope to raise a family here. 20 I spend multiple days and nights on the lakes, fishing 21 the rivers. I love the environment. 22 outdoors. 23 It's almost insane to me to think that they are 24 even allowing this. I mean, most of you guys are way 25 older than I am. I'm part of the younger environment

Page 45 1 here, and I have an issue with this. I mean, thank you all for talking about it. I feel like if it doesn't leak soon it will eventually leak, and my kids or me, my future grandkids, if I raise a family here, are going to be impacted by this. Chemicals are extremely scary, as most of you 7 know, and it's even scarier when they are affected by 8 -- they affect the life of living organisms like we've all seen tonight. It's just insane to think that this 10 is even happening. Also, it was just brought to my attention that our 12 township officials are compensating for this well. 13 that's another thing to really think about. And that's 14 all I want to say. Thank you. 15 MR. JANN: Sam Bridle. 16 MR. BRIDLE: Thank you. My name is Sam Bridle, 17 B-r-i-d-l-e. I've only lived here a few years, but I 18 live on Pratt Lake. For those of you who don't know 19 much about Pratt Lake, it's 30 feet deep, and it's 20 spring fed from an underlying aquifer. So that's my 21 first concern. We're very close to the site for the proposed 23 injection well. Two years ago we had to drill a new 24 drinking water well on our property, and we actually 25 hit water 90 feet down, which we were very happy about,

Page 46 but when you put those two things together, that. aguifer is 90 feet and Pratt Lake is only 30 feet deep. We know this injection well is going to be quite close. In addition to that, there is this pipeline where it connects to the well. So our big concerns are, how deep does the 6 underlying aguifer under Pratt Lake, how far down does 8 that go? How close does it get to that 3,800 feet that the injection well will operate at? 10 So I would hope that the EPA would take a very close look at that before they even consider granting 11 12 this permit. Because any kind of leakage in the 13 injection well or the pipeline system could easily contaminate Pratt Lake and our drinking water well. 14 So that's all I have to say, but I hope it carries 15 16 some weight. Thank you. 17 MR. JANN: Thank you. Now, we have LuAnne Kozma, 18 followed by Ellis Boal, and then John Dalton. 19 I'm LuAnne Kozma, MS. KOZMA: Thank you. 20 K-o-z-m-a, from Charlevoix, Michigan, and I'm on the committee to Ban Fracking in Michigan, director of the 22 ballot initiative. We request that you deny this 23 permit, and I hope everyone in this room writes to you 24 by the deadline, and that you provide that information as to how they do that, to deny the permit.

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 47

Also, another hearing should be held, because I think a lot of information has not been provided yet to the public. I was, about a year ago, I was at the Berry County injection well hearing that you were actually at, Steve, I'm not sure if you were, but the same question was asked, what is the failure, casing failure, rate in Michigan, and whoever with the EPA said we don't know that, and here it is a year later and they are still saying it. We need and the public deserves to have that information prior to a public hearing.

There are a lot of different reasons why you should deny it, and then the only things you are looking at are process, geologic siting, well engineering, operation and monitoring standards.

A couple of reasons why you should deny it. If you can't deny it because of people like Donna, who was here earlier with cancer -- I don't know if you ever deny anything, and I really admire her bravery and courage to come up here and tell you what she's doing.

And as well casing failures do happen, and we need to know that failure rate. You know the failure rate. In fact, in 2016 your own study showed that, let me just go through a bunch of stuff that I have here and comments that were already given to you, from December

Page 48 1 13, 2016, specifically saying that injection wells are 2 a source of contamination, that's your own EPA 3 information, and so you reverse course, according to the New York Times. I hope that you are looking at every study by Tony Egraphia (phonetic), by the oil and gas industry 7 itself, that shows that their injection wells, you know, the casings fail. It's just a matter of time. 9 So if you are about to, you know, guarantee to 10 this community that this casing will never affect the 11 groundwater here, not in 5 years, 10 years, 35 years, 100 years, 200 years, I mean, that's what we're looking 12 at. You know, this community is looking at the 13 entirety, you know, in the future looking forward. 14 15 Radioactivity is another I will be writing you 16 about, and I won't go on about it now, but all this 17 waste is highly radioactive, and I don't think you are 18 testing for it. I don't think Jordan is testing for 19 How can we test for it, if you are not even 20 testing for it? 21 And I just encourage everyone here to write to the 22 EPA by the deadline and give them every single study 23 that they need to be looking at in making this 24 decision. And please deny the permit. And we do 25 request another hearing. Thanks.

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 49

MR. JANN: Okay. Second in the cue is John Dalton, and then third is Kristin Olivastr, I believe.

MR. BOAL: Thank you, Steve. Ellis, E-1-1-i-s, last name Boal, B-o-a-1, from Charlevoix, Michigan. Also on the committee Ban Fracking.

In the question period earlier I spoke and asked a question about seismic issues, seismic issues related to the basement as opposed to the overlying layer, and Janette gave some information about the investigation that she had done on the possibility of earthquakes disrupting the, naturally caused earthquakes, disrupting this injection well.

And the problem I have -- well, we also discussed a report of EPA from 2013, '14 a workshop, "Minimizing and Managing Potential Impacts of Injection-Induced Seismicity from Class II Disposal Wells: Practical Approaches," and how that report recommended that there be a much more extensive investigation than has been done here.

But the point I want to make is, even crediting Janette's assurances of the investigations that she did for earthquakes noticed in this area, and including the one in the Canada/Detroit area just a couple months ago, even crediting that, we don't think that the burden of proof is on EPA. We think the burden of

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 50

proof is on Jordan. Jordan has got to prove that there are no faults in the area near or above or below the injection area, not just for a quarter mile radius or a one mile radius around the well.

It's the operator that's going to be drilling that has the burden of proof to show affirmatively that there are no faults, and I don't think Janette claims that they have done that, and I don't -- if they have done that, that's great, but I haven't heard that Jordan has proved anything. All we have heard is that Janette has done some investigation and hasn't found any problems. So I think because the burden of proof has not been placed on the company as to this issue that the permit should be denied. Thanks.

MR. JANN: Thank you. Next is John Dalton, followed by Kristin Olivastr, and then third would be Veronica Hall, and fourth would be -- okay. Ms. Hall, very good. Thank you.

MS. HALL: Veronica Hall, H-a-l-1. And like I said in the first session, I know that some consideration went into the site, and for Michigan having the most private groundwater drinking wells than any other state, to me right there it's a no-brainer. Not to mention a watershed, spring fed lake, Pratt Lake, Wiggins Lake, Cedar River. We are a farming

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 51

community. We have fish and wildlife activities abundant here. There just has not been enough questions answered here today.

It seems like halfway through the first session, that little bit about the pipeline being 3 feet underground, that came out sort of by accident. That is huge. That is a huge piece.

And I know not a lot of money right now is allocated for if there is a catastrophe, but all the money in the world cannot put the genie back in the bottle. Once there's a spill, a catastrophic spill, we won't be the same. The water won't be the same. The land won't be the same, and it's not worth the health of us, our children and our grandchildren.

These wells are supposed to last or hold the brine forever, but the wells, the piping, the casing are manmade. They will not last forever.

The world heard about the water crisis in Flint.

Now, that was a different type of water crisis, but still, there were -- Flint people were failed by government, by agencies. They thought their water was safe and it wasn't. Michigan does not need another water crisis. We can't. It's just not worth it.

And I'm kind of wondering too, why this whole hearing, we kind of heard about it by word of mouth,

Page 52

why wasn't this brought out more to the community? I don't know. And why the rush that we have to get our comments in so soon? I mean, the last I heard we were government for the people, by the people and of the people, and I think the people don't want this.

MR. JANN: Thank you. Terry Peden, Stephani Terpening, and Wayne Terpening.

MR. PEDEN: Hi, my name is Terry Peden. The last name is P-e-d-e-n. I got experience over ten years in the water treatment areas around the state and learned quite a bit. I've been up here for 25 years in this area and have always enjoyed it, but I have the experience of learning about wells in my personal life and also in public life. I found out a lot of things.

We have -- Dow Chemical is here because of salt water, that's exactly why they are here. Many, many years ago that's what they were after, and that's what made Dow Chemical.

Now, that's brine, okay. But there's other things that come up with brine. But my personal experience is, I've had to put down personal wells and things like, that had to change. I live in an area just north of Beaverton that has flowing wells, and those wells, when they drilled mine, it had a 12-foot head and was pouring over 60 gallons a minute into the lake,

3

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 53

beautiful pristine water. I asked the gentleman who was drilling the well, who had many years experience, he told me flat out, he says, we really don't know, he says, but the best we can figure out from all the well drillers around is that water is coming from Lake Superior. I said, really? Yeah, underground springs all the way here.

Now, we contaminate this mess and we spread it all over here, we're going to lose it all. We're going to lose it all.

So where's the liability? How are you going to replace my water, your water, and everybody in this room and all around? Where are you going to get water again? Yes, a lot of it has salt in it. It can be filtered out. But in my experience over these past years, I found nine wells in this county, things happen, naturally even, there was arsenic in them, like that.

Always get your water tested before you decide to drink it, when you get new property, because there were nine wells in this area with arsenic. Not a very good idea. These other things you see, the radon and things like that, most of that can be screened.

But this here, it's really bothering me that we're playing a game right now with our water, with somebody

Page 54 coming in here. There's no monetary gain for this 2 community at all for having this in here, is there? Can't answer, can you? Okay. Why would we want it here? Why a few years ago we wanted to bury all our 5 nuclear waste in the UP? Isn't that kind of a silly thing? One thing I did was -- I was also with the Rural Water Association, and the one thing that I learned along the way, we are sitting on the most beautiful 9 10 jewel in the entire world of fresh water. Name another 11 place that has this much fresh water available. 12 we screw it up, it's going to be bad. There's going to be a big accident along the way. 1.3 It's going to be a 14 liability, because we will end up having to fight. And 15 we're going to fight ourselves over it? No, you don't 16 get to do it, not in my mind. 17 MR. JANN: You are past time. 18 MR. PEDEN: Thank you. 19 Okay. Thank you. Stephanie Terpening, MR. JANN: 20 and our last speaker will be Wayne Terpening. 21 MS. TERPENING: Hello again. I'd like to thank 22 the EPA for coming. I met you at a similar meeting in 23 Clare that was not as well attended as this, because 24 the EPA printed the wrong date and location for the 25 public hearing when we tried to have one of these

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 55

there. So I'm happy to say this in front of a crowd of witnesses.

First of all, I would like to ask the EPA here to deny this permit for very many reasons, but, number one reason, you have absolutely no way to ensure to all of us that you will keep this fracking site from leaking for a lot of reasons.

One, I understand that they have to — the Jordan Development Company has to cap within, you know, every well within a quarter mile radius. However, when we were fighting a similar injection site in Clare County recently I went with a group of people from the Clare/Gladwin Water Protectors to Central Michigan University to research oil wells in the area, and we found dozens of orphaned wells that were not capped properly, that were from before the legislation required them to be capped properly, that were not within a quarter mile, but they were close enough to scare us and close enough to be a potential leak.

I also feel like this is a huge, this is a very bad area to even consider for a toxic injection site, because Michigan is a floating skate. I mean, nowhere in Michigan is a good spot for one of these things. We already have too many of them here, but this is a floating state. Not only do we have lots of aquifers

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 56

here supplying us with fresh water, we have 70 percent of the world's fresh water source right here around us with the Great Lakes and underneath us, and these aren't just aquifers. They are rivers flowing underground, under the state, and we've had drowning victims like in Houghton Lake that get found in one of the Great Lakes because they were pulled through underground.

So there's absolutely, with all of this water flow under the ground surface, there is absolutely no guaranties that you can give us that there won't be a leak.

And I would like to also know, and I asked the EPA to let us know, if this injection well site has been inspected for leaks since Michigan's most recent earthquake in Kalamazoo.

It's also a very crucial time for us to maintain all of our fresh water supply sources, because right now Michigan is selling our fresh water to the highest bidder. So we need to keep our fresh water clean that we have already.

There's no reason for this site other than for this company to make profit. But, you know what, we profit more from drinking clean water, us as citizens, and I don't care what that company has to spend to

Page 57 1 dispose of it somewhere else at a further distance away. I'm sure it's not that far and not that much money compared to the money that we all will have stolen from us if we have to pay for new wells here in the area. So our water is not for sale. MR. JANN: Thank you. And our last speaker will be Wayne Terpening. 8 MR. TERPENING: Thank you. That's 9 T-e-r-p-e-n-i-n-g. I'm a resident of Clare County and 1.0 have been for the last nearly 40 years. And, you know, the water table and the lakes and the rivers, it's what 11 12 really brought our family to this area of Michigan. 13 But above and beyond that, one of my best friends 14 is a farmer out in Nebraska, and when we talk about 15 Michigan or the Great Lakes area, he calls it water 16 country, because they have very little water. 17 the western United States and southwestern United States are looking increasingly at ways of getting our 19 water, and at some point I'm sure we will end up 20 sharing it with them. 21 I know the DEQ and the EPA, their hands are 22 largely tied by our legislature, and given the nature 23 of partisan politics today, all I can say is good luck. 24 But I think you need to take a leadership role in not 25 only denying this permit on any basis that you can find

	Page 58
1	to do so, but also in the interest of state and
2	national security, taking a leadership role in
3	protecting the fresh water in the Great Lakes and in
4	this area. Because, frankly, with contamination
5	attacking the water supply all over the nation, and
6	with the western half of our state, of our United
7	States, having very little water, we're going to be the
8	resource of the future. That water is going to be very
9	valuable to us and very valuable to every American.
10	And I know politically it's going to be difficult,
11	but the EPA and the DEQ have to go back to the
12	legislature and try to take a leadership role and get
13	control of our water system and our water supply.
14	Thank you.
15	MR. JANN: Okay. Thank you. Let me ask if there
16	is anyone who signed up to speak whose name I did not
17	call? Okay. We are past time. Yes?
18	MS. SZERDI: Is there any way I can say one or two
19	things?
20	MR. JANN: Yes, you may, and then we will
21	conclude.
22	MS. SZERDI: Priscilla Szerdi, S-z-e-r-d-i. I
23	want to make a couple of comments. Number one, this
24	isn't fracking, and you guys are getting fracking
25	confused with other things and you shouldn't, because

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 59

it's totally different.

We also need to realize that this is an old oil well, and Janette told us that the Dundee strata that it's going into is permeable, because that old oil well, in order to suck the oil out, it was a permeable formation. And when this is going in in the injection well, they are using that old oil well. They are casing the top part of it, but they are not casing the bottom. It's not going into a sealed area. It is going back into the Dundee formation, which is exactly what she said, which is a permeable formation. That oil of the brine with whatever it has in it is going to spread through that permeable formation.

We are a basin, okay. That permeable formation goes up on the edges of Michigan toward our Great Lakes. If we do not know how — we know this 22,000 barrels that are going in there, we don't know how many years this is going to happen. Is it 5 years? Is it 10 years? Is it 15 years? Is it 20 years? Are we looking at 50 years of injection into this well?

As we do that, that is going to push everything in the brine through this permeable formation up to the edges of that formation and out into our Great Lakes. It's not going to stay in one spot. It's only cased near the top. It's all going through this permeable

6

8

g

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Page 60

formation at the bottom. We are a bowl of strata. That means it's going toward Lake Huron, going toward Lake Michigan, it's going up toward the north and down to the south.

So you have to remember that also. So it's not just us. It's the whole Great Lakes that are going to be affected by these injection wells, and we don't know how long it's going to be used.

My husband also just said somebody brought up old wells that weren't capped. When this goes down into that formation it's also going to be forced up those old wells that are open and not capped. So that can explode out of those old wells that are available to fountain out, just like the volcanoes in Hawaii, fountains of old brine and old oil coming out of old oil wells not capped, and we don't know how many of those oil wells there are.

You have to remember, Gladwin County was in the 1930s one of the biggest oil production areas in the State of Michigan. So that's another thing to consider in this. How the old oil wells could possibly produce all this coming back out onto our surface and contaminating the surface area and our ground waters, because those old oil wells were probably not cased because of the time frame that they were done. Thank

Page 61 you very much. MR. JANN: Okay. Thank you. So the lady came forward because she wanted to speak. Is there anyone who did not sign up and does want to speak at this 5 time? We are past time, but since I offered it here I 6 wanted to offer it to anyone else. (No response) 8 MR. JANN: If that is the case, then we are Okay. 9 done. And I do thank you for spending this evening 10 with us and posing your questions and offering your 11 comments on this draft permit. 12 (At 9:07 p.m., hearing concluded) 13 14 --000000--15 16 17 18 ORIGINAL

	Page 62
1	CERTIFICATION OF COURT REPORTER
2.	CERTIFICATION OF COOK! REPORTER
3	GEAGE OF MICHICAN
	STATE OF MICHIGAN )
4	ACTING IN THE COUNTY OF GLADWIN )
5	
6	I certify that this transcript, consisting of 61
7	pages, is a complete, true, and correct transcript of
8	the proceedings and testimony taken in this case on
9	June 19, 2018.
10	
11	
12.	Jane Rose Regarting
13	KATHLEEN TULICK, CSR 4806
14	3725 South 31 Road
15	Cadillac, MI 49601
16	231-920-9466
17	Wexford County
18	My commission expires: 6/10/24
19	WINDLE STATE OF THE STATE OF TH
20	THISE TO A PARTY
21	Completed date: June 26, 2018
22	Z CDAT Z
23	ORIGINAL
24	UNIUINAL SCONSIMILIO
25	"minimum"